

CONVERTING XBRL INTO SDMX: A PRACTICAL APPROACH

ANTONIO OLLEROS, CEO MEANINGFUL DATA

Frankfurt, 19 June 2019



INTRODUCTION

- This presentation shows the methodology used for an XBRL to SDMX converter to be built for the Reserve Bank of India (RBI)
- RBI has been successfully using XBRL since 2008. Some characteristics of RBI's system
 - 156 reports included
 - 10.000+ financial institutions
 - 200.000+ filings every year
 - System to system submission of filings
- RBI is starting the implementation of a new reporting system (CIMS)
 - Main reasons
 - Dealing with microdata
 - Growth of data requirements
 - Improving scalability
 - Using global standards, including XBRL, SDMX and VTL
 - Bid to implement the new system won by TCS, in a joint proposal with IRIS Business Services and meaningfulData







CIMS USE CASE







THE USE CASE

- RBI's new system will have an SDMX-based metadata-driven repository
- All reports to be defined using SDMX
- Flexibility for filers to use XBRL for the currently existing reports (temporary)
- Need to convert the XBRL input into SDMX, in order to store all the information in the repository
 - XBRL to SDMX conversion
 - Codes mappings
 - Other customisation
- For validation and transformation of data, Validation and Transformation Language (VTL) has been selected
 - XBRL validations are not automatically translated







urofiling

 As preliminary step , XBRL taxonomies are translated into SDMX DSDs and related artefacts

the statistic britistic that a first strate in a first

- Includes parametrization of the mappings, like transcoding
- The system can take as input XBRL or SDMX files for a certain report
- If XBRL is sent:
 - XBRL instance is processed with the XBRL processor
 - If the file is correct (including basic validations), the file is sent to the ECU to convert it into an SDMX instance
 - The file goes through the VTL engine for final validations and transformations, if required
- If SDMX is sent:
 - SDMX instance is processed with the SDMX processor
 - If the file is formally correct, it is sent to the VTL engine for validations and transformations, if required



CONVERSION METHODOLOGY







MOVING FROM A FACT-CENTRIC FORMAT TO A DATASET-CENTRIC FORMAT

 The basic building blocks of XBRL are facts, instance documents, concepts and taxonomies

(taken from XBRL essentials from XBRL.org)



 In SDMX, the basic building block, as regards metadata, is the Data Structure Definition, which defines a structure that the datasets, containing observations, need to follow.





7

SEEING XBRL INSTANCES AS DATASETS

- An instance is a collection of facts. A fact has:
 - A concept
 - A context
 - Entity
 - Segment/Scenario: Collection of pairs dimension-member
 - Period
 - Decimals
 - Unit of reference
 - Observation
- And the collection of facts can be seen as a dataset

```
<tax1:Loans

contextRef="20180331_ES_EUR"

unitRef="EUR"

decimals="0">157235

</tax1:Loans>

<tax1:Securities

contextRef="20180331_ES_EUR"

unitRef="EUR"

decimals="0">217653

</tax1:Securities>
```

entity	period	primaryltem	locationOfActivities	currencyOfInstrument	observation	unitRef	decimals
018	2018-03-31	Loans	ES	EUR	157235	EUR	0
018	2018-03-31	Securities	ES	EUR	217653	EUR	0



CONVERTING XBRL TAXONOMIES

- But how can we know from the taxonomy what is going to be the structure of the dataset?
- Hypercubes in an XBRL taxonomy define a kind of data structure, which can be assimilated to a DSD
- Primary items are considered another dimension, with a generic name
- Hypercubes define only some of the components of the DSD, other dimensions and attributes are implicit:
 - Entity
 - Period
 - Unit
 - Decimals



CONVERTING XBRL TAXONOMIES

Dimension Relationships	Arcrole
□ [2100] Credit Card Business	
Statement showing details of Credit card business carried by banks or through its subsidiar	
Credit card business [table]	all
	hypercube-dimension
Category of Credit cards [axis]	hypercube-dimension
Category of Credit cards [domain]	dimension-domain
Domestic Credit cards [member]	domain-member
International Credit cards [member]	domain-member
Asset classification [axis]	hypercube-dimension
 Details of Credit card business [line items] 	domain-member

(eurofiling

Viewing: CreditCardBusinessHypercube [1.0]

Dimensions	
[entity] Entity dimension	
[TIME_PERIOD] Time period dimension	
[primaryItem] Primary item dimension	
[AO] Area of operation	
[in-rbi-rep_CategoryOfCreditCardsAxis] CategoryOfCreditCardsAxis	
[in-rbi-rep_AssetClassificationAxis] AssetClassificationAxis	
Primary Measure	
[OBS_VALUE] ObservationValue	
Dataset Attributes	
- n/a -	
Series Attributes	

Data Type: RBI:in-rbi-rep_CategoryOfCreditCardsDomain(1.0) Enumeration Restrictions: No Additional Restrictions

ld		▶ Name			
in-rbi-rep_DomesticCreditCardsMember		DomesticCreditCardsMember			
in-rbi-rep_InternationalCreditCardsMember		InternationalCreditCardsMember			
Showing 1 to 2 of 2 entries					

Search:



CONVERTING INSTANCES

- In XBRL, instance files are a list of facts, with no assignment to any hypercube
- In SDMX, an instance file contains one to many datasets, each dataset having one to many observations
- So the key question is: How can we assign the facts to a hypercube?
 - Select the hypercubes containing the primary item of the fact
 - From the selection, select the hypercubes that use at least the same dimensions as the fact shows in the scenario/segment
 - From the selection, select the hypercubes for which the allowed domain for the dimensions fits the values of the context of the fact
 - If a fact uses a subset of dimensions of a hypercube, the missing dimensions need to be added with a default value





CONVERTING INSTANCES

<xbrli:context id="fromto 20181001 20181231 DomesticCreditCardsMember DomesticMember"> <xbrli:entity> <xbrli:identifier scheme="http://www.rbi.gov.in/000/2010-12-31"> 041</xbrli:identifier> <xbrli:segment> <xbrldi:explicitMember dimension='in-rbi-rep:CategoryOfCreditCardsAxis'> in-rbi-rep:DomesticCreditCardsMember</xbrldi:explicitMember> <xbrldi:explicitMember dimension='in-rbi-rep:RegionOfBusinessAxis'> in-rbi-rep:DomesticMember</xbrldi:explicitMember> </xbrli:segment> </xbrli:entity> <xbrli:period> <xbrli:startDate>2018-10-01</xbrli:startDate> <xbrli:endDate>2018-12-31</xbrli:endDate> </xbrli:period> /xbrli:context>

<message:DataSet action="Replace"</pre> structureRef="in-rbi-rep CreditCardBusinessHypercube" validFromDate="2019-06-16T19:00:43"> <generic:Series> <generic:SeriesKey> <generic:Value id="entity" value="041"/> <generic:Value id="in-rbi-rep CategoryOfCreditCardsAxis" value="in-rbi-rep DomesticCreditCardsMember"/> <generic:Value id="AO" value="D"/> <generic:Value id="primaryItem"</pre> value="in-rbi-rep AggregateNumberOfCardHoldersForCreditCardBusiness"/> </generic:SeriesKey> <generic:Attributes> <generic:Value id="startDate" value="2018-10-01"/> <generic:Value id="decimals" value="INF"/> <generic:Value id="unitRef" value="xbrli:pure"/> </generic:Attributes> <generic:Obs> <qeneric:ObsValue id="OBS VALUE" value="34"/> <generic:ObsDimension id="TIME PERIOD" value="2018-12-31"/> </generic:Obs> </generic:Series>







CONCLUSION

- XBRL is a fact-centric format, while SDMX is a dataset-centric format
- The main challenge is how to translate XBRL structures to an SDMX dimensional data structure
- Hypercubes play a key role in the conversion, because they represent data structures
- There is no such a thing as a perfect one-fits-all mapping between XBRL and SDMX!! → Importance of a use case



